

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: October 1, 2007 Electronic Signature for Ian R. Blum: /Ian R. Blum/

Docket No.: W3025.0012

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:

Peter Kassan

Application No.: 10/671,194

Confirmation No.: 6395

Filed: September 25, 2003

Art Unit: 3625

For: System for producing on-line content from
web sites on demand

Examiner: W. J. Allen

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The present invention relates to reproducing internet website content as printed media. More specifically, the present invention enables a website to offer magazine-like printed versions or all of some of their website content.

As described in the specification and recited in the claims, the present invention is directed to a method for providing printed pages of web hosted information in response to an electronic request received over a communication network. In particular, a user submits a request for receiving a printed copy of web hosted information that is displayable as web pages. It should be noted that the request the user submits is not directed to specific contents displayed on a web page but to information that is displayable as web pages.

The information that is displayable as web pages comprises the content displayed as web pages on the website. Neither the present specification nor the claims

are directed towards requesting a single item displayed on the web page as printed subject matter.

Appellant notes that the claims recite submitting a request for receiving a printed copy of web hosted information that is displayable as web pages. In contrast, the Ximenes reference discloses a user visiting a website and ordering a copy of one or more of a plurality of items displayed on one or more of a plurality of web pages. In particular, Ximenes discloses a virtual art gallery which is a displayable reproduction of images in a library. See at least paragraph 36 and 40. The catalog or library contains images available for selection and reproduction. See paragraph 40. Thus, a user cannot order the information displayable as web pages but only a copy of an item displayed on a web page. We note that a user can select multiple images displayed on a web page, each of these items will be reproduced individually.

Appellant notes that ordering a printed image from a catalog is not the same as ordering a copy of web hosted information that is displayable as a web page. Ximenes merely provides printing an image that is displayed on a web page. Thus, Ximenes fails to anticipate or render the pending claims obvious.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Appellant respectfully requests that the Board order the withdrawal of the pending rejections so that the pending claims can pass to issue.

Dated: October 1, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ian R. Blum/
Ian R. Blum

Registration No.: 42,336
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-2714
(212) 277-6500
Attorney for Appellant

IRB/mgs